



Submitted : 08 September, 2026

Accepted : 24 September, 2026

Published : 25 September, 2026

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Brian Paulson PhD., LMHC, LMFT, MCAP, CGAC, Child & Family Studies, University of South Florida, USA, E-mail: hugohermann@yahoo.fr

Keywords: Burnout; Resiliency; Behavioral health; Workforce attrition; Clinical supervision

Copyright License: © 2026 Paulson B, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

<https://www.neuroscigroup.us>



Research Article

Equipping the Next Generation: Integrating Resiliency Frameworks into Early-Career Supports and Training

Brian Paulson^{1*} and Amy Hayes²

¹Child & Family Studies, University of South Florida, USA

²Northwestern College, USA

Abstract

Addiction counselors leave their jobs at high rates. In one multi-site U.S. study that tracked 27 treatment organizations from 2008 to 2010, about one in three counselors left their position each year. Traditional training provides a theoretical foundation but rarely prepares emerging clinicians for the emotional weight of a full caseload in a resource-limited setting. Burnout and compassion fatigue are consistently linked to these departures, although most of the evidence shows association rather than cause. This paper argues for embedding resiliency frameworks directly into counselor-in-training education and early-career supervision rather than treating wellness as a personal responsibility. Drawing on the Resiliency Wellness Model and SAMHSA guidelines, it examines standardized monitoring tools, including the ProQOL, CBI, and ACSES. It also weighs the evidence, strengths, and limits of group supervision, role-play, reflective practice, boundary-setting, and experiential methods. Finally, it explains how individual resilience training and organizational support depend on each other. Treating counselor wellbeing as a core clinical competency is the most defensible strategy for protecting both the workforce and the people it serves.

Introduction

Behavioral health and addiction counseling are experiencing an attrition crisis that is compromising the quality and continuity of care for behavioral health workers and clinicians [1,2]. High turnover can interrupt the therapeutic alliance, which is a central part of effective substance use disorder treatment [3,4]. The behavioral health workforce includes all professionals engaged in the treatment and prevention of mental health conditions and substance use disorders, with tens of thousands of licensed and unlicensed addiction counselors filling a large portion of this demographic [5,6]. When counselors leave, their cases shift to the staff who stay. Studies link this added workload and workplace stress to lower client engagement and to services that become broken up or stop altogether [7,8]. On the other side, programs with stable, well-supported staff tend to show longer client retention and better outcomes over time [9,10].

The size of the problem depends on how it is measured, so context matters. Eby and colleagues tracked actual staff

departures at 27 substance use disorder treatment organizations across the United States over two years, from 2008 to 2010. Twenty-six of these sites were part of the National Institute on Drug Abuse Clinical Trials Network. The yearly turnover rate for counselors was 33.2%. Most exits were voluntary, and a new job or opportunity was the most common reason given. Knight and colleagues studied 206 counselors in 25 outpatient programs [11]. The programs came from a larger project that collected data in nine states between 2004 and 2006. In that sample, 32% had been in their current job for less than one year, and only 29% had held the same job for five years or more. Counselors with less time on the job were more likely to leave during the following year [12]. That study only included programs with at least one voluntary departure, so these figures describe staff tenure in those programs. They are not a national attrition rate. More recent work points in the same direction. A 2020 survey of 206 counselors in rural Pennsylvania programs found that emotional exhaustion was the strongest predictor of intent to quit [13]. These studies differ in setting, time period, and method, and some of the data is more than a decade old.

Taken together, they still describe a workforce with steady churn and the heaviest risk in the first years on the job.

The move from graduate training into full-time practice appears to be a period of higher risk [14,15]. New clinicians take on real caseloads before they have had much time to practice managing emotional fatigue in a field known for high burnout. Burnout and compassion fatigue can set in quickly at this stage, and both are associated with lower clinical effectiveness and poorer personal wellbeing [2].

Burnout is understood as a psychological syndrome coming from chronic interpersonal stress and the demands of having an unsustainable workload [16–18]. Compassion fatigue, while related, is distinctly different as it comes from having prolonged exposure to client suffering and secondary trauma rather than workload volume alone [19,20]. This particular type of occupational distress is more common among helping professionals due to the component of empathy as part of the professional identity. Addiction counselors carry particular vulnerability to both conditions. The nature of substance use disorders, encompassing how they are chronic and can involve relapse, means that treatment progress is rarely linear, and watching clients relapse again and again may add to work-related exhaustion over time [21]. Luckily, some counselors have demonstrated meaningful and lasting resilience despite these difficult conditions [22,23]. However, even when equipped with resiliency based practices, low reimbursement rates and ever-increasing administrative burdens increase the rate of occupational fatigue even among the most resilient and experienced clinicians [24].

Training programs and supervisors share responsibility for addressing these vulnerabilities before they start contributing to the high numbers of attrition. Most counselors enter the field underprepared for the emotional taxes that come with a high caseload [25]. The Resiliency Wellness Model advocates for and encourages integrating wellness strategies that are proactive in the beginning stages of early training, as opposed to reactive interventions that may be too late to prevent or mitigate excessive burnout and possible professional impairment [26]. Incorporating these frameworks within practicum experiences gives counselors-in-training practical coping strategies before they start with reduced support from faculty [27]. A supervisor relationship that is structured can serve a dual function of both being able to model for the counselor in training about sustainable practice while also reducing the likelihood of feeling professionally isolated when emotional exhaustion appears [28,29]. The ACA [30] code of Ethics is clear on this matter: counselor well-being is a clinical competency rather than a personal concern. While helping professionals are encouraged to help themselves, they must also be encouraged to seek help and be helped.

Standardized assessment tools can help with this by giving supervisors objective data to support that clinical competency. Integrating monitoring tools that are validated and evidence-based into supervision allows both clinicians and administrators to identify a healthy emotional baseline as well as identify possible risks or fluctuations caused by burnout,

before professional impairment develops [25,31]. These tools also have limits, which later sections describe. They rely on self-report, and none of them can diagnose impairment on its own.

The Professional Quality of Life Scale does an excellent job of this by tracking compassion satisfaction alongside secondary traumatic stress over time [32]. The Counselor Burnout Inventory assesses exhaustion across dimensions specific to the counseling context [33]. Equally important, the *Addiction Counseling Self-Efficacy Scale* measures clinical confidence in core addiction counseling skills [34]. Self-efficacy may matter most as clinicians move from student to practicing professional, although its link to long-term retention has not been directly tested. When supervisors and trainees use these scores as part of their time together in a way that is productive and collaborative, targeted self-care planning becomes more data-informed as opposed to reactive and likely more hazardous and interventions that are too late.

Another option is group supervision. Experiential activities within that context of group supervision give supervisees the necessary environment to translate abstract wellness concepts into easy-to-practice professional behaviors and measurable goals within a supportive environment [27,35]. Role-play scenarios give members the opportunity and practice time to utilize assertive communication and boundary-setting skills that demanding caseloads require [36]. Active learning may also build clinical self-efficacy, which could help newer counselors handle workplace stress at less personal cost. Implementing active learning frameworks gives them the space to practice and grow their clinical self-efficacy that in turn enables newer counselors to withstand complicated organizational stressors without being negatively impacted or worsened from it themselves. This approach aligns with SAMHSA [37] workforce development guidelines, which identify structured developmental support as a core and necessary component for sustaining the clinical workforce. The evidence for these methods is still developing. Most studies use small student samples and short follow-up, so the approaches are best seen as promising rather than proven. Building these supports into training and supervision helps protect practitioners. It also protects clients, whose care depends on counselors staying in the field long enough to offer continuity.

Early-career attrition rates

The behavioral health system may be losing clinicians at the point they are most needed. In one multi-site U.S. sample, about one third of counselors left their jobs each year [11]. In an outpatient sample, nearly one third had been in their current position for less than a year, and shorter tenure predicted leaving within the next year.¹² The financial consequences for community agencies do not bode well either, as constant recruitment and retraining consume the already limited funds needed to keep treatment centers and community mental health centers appropriately staffed. Consequently, this turnover is also associated with disrupted therapeutic alliances, which recovery from addiction often depends on [1,3,11,36,38].

The long-term picture raises similar concern. In the Knight sample, only 29% of counselors had stayed in the same job for five years or more, although 58% had more than five years of experience in the field overall [12]. This gap suggests that many counselors change jobs more often than they leave the profession. Burnout and compassion fatigue are associated with lower clinical effectiveness and with early exit from the field, often at a cost to the clinician's own well-being [2,14,36]. When experienced clinicians leave, the services they provided do not simply transfer to someone else. Instead, they fragment, and the patients who depended on them are negatively impacted [8].

Burnout has been studied in human service work for more than four decades. Maslach and Jackson¹⁶ described burnout as a psychological syndrome produced by chronic interpersonal stress and unsustainable workload demands. Later on, compassion fatigue would be recognized as a related but distinct condition, having more to do with prolonged exposure to client suffering rather than solely having a large workload volume [19]. Addiction counselors are exposed to both. Low reimbursement rates and growing paperwork are linked with more professional fatigue than clinical demands alone would predict [24]. Newer practitioners appear to carry the highest risk, given their limited experience balancing both kinds of pressure [25,39].

Fixing these problems means looking less at personal shortcomings and more at the agencies where clinicians work [18]. Toxic work settings and poor leadership are not limited to failing agencies. They are documented correlates of lower care quality, safety concerns, and high staff turnover [33,40,41]. Unfair decisions and poor communication from leaders are also tied to emotional exhaustion and turnover [21,42]. A 2020 survey of rural counselors found the same pattern. Fair treatment in pay and rewards and clear management communication were both tied to lower exhaustion and lower intent to quit.¹³ The field can no longer treat the human cost of this work as a side issue. Agencies with policies that protect staff health tend to report fewer financial and clinical losses [6,36,43]. Fewer resources go to training new hires, and staff who stay can keep building the agency's strength.

The consequences of ignoring these conditions directly impacts the patients and clients seeking services from behavioral health care workers. Staff turnover is linked to breaks in continuity of care, and disrupted therapeutic alliances are associated with clients leaving treatment early [1,3,8,23]. Emotional exhaustion and depersonalization are associated with reduced empathy, which is central to building rapport [2,18,39]. When clinicians are depleted, clients often notice. Research on long-term treatment outcomes links continuity of care with longer abstinence and better functioning [44].

The transition from where the clinician is trained to where they are now practicing their skills is an integral moment and largely a defining chapter of one's career. New clinicians entering high-demand settings without adequate support structures are at a high risk of facing rapid burnout [25]. Giving them practical, evidence-informed self-care strategies before

they take on full clinical responsibility may help protect both the workforce and the clients they serve. SAMHSA⁶ identifies supportive organizational strategies as essential to sustaining a functional workforce, and the ACA³⁰ has made it clear that counselor wellness is a clinical competency rather than a personal preference. The Resiliency Wellness Model developed by Lenz and Smith²⁶ also advocates for this, encouraging for clinicians, institutions, and organizations alike to integrate wellness education and ongoing assessment directly alongside clinical skill development. Proactive wellness planning aims to address stress before it builds to an unmanageable level, a pattern the literature associates with early career exits and ongoing workforce shortages [35,45,46].

Validated assessment tools for mental wellness

Monitoring clinician wellbeing takes more than informal check-ins. Standard tools give supervisors hard data and a way to spot risk before impairment sets in [31]. The Resiliency Wellness Model argues that proactive wellness management works better than waiting for burnout to become visible [26,40,47]. This claim rests mostly on theory and early studies, and direct comparisons remain limited. An emotional health baseline set early in a clinician's career gives supervisors a reference point that reactive approaches lack. Use of these tools in supervision should stay voluntary. The choice to complete them and share the results belongs to the supervisee. Supervisors can encourage their use, but they must stay aware of the power difference built into the supervisory role. Clinicians may underreport distress if they fear the results could hurt their standing at the agency.

The Professional Quality of Life Scale. The ProQOL is among the most widely used instruments for measuring occupational well-being in human service professions [32,48,49]. It tracks both compassion satisfaction and secondary traumatic stress, allowing individuals to see the protective factors alongside the risks. Higher compassion satisfaction is associated with lower cumulative strain from clinical work [29,50]. Used consistently, the ProQOL can help clinicians stay aware of their own mental health during the move into practice, when that awareness is easy to lose [25,35]. The tool has clear strengths. It is short, free to use, and familiar to many supervisors, and its manual reports acceptable reliability for each subscale [32]. It also has known weaknesses. Heritage and colleagues used Rasch analysis to test the scale and proposed a shorter 21-item version with better measurement properties [49]. The manual offers score ranges for interpretation, but these are not clinical cutoffs for impairment [32]. Scores also capture how a person feels at one point in time, so a single result should not drive major decisions. The ProQOL works best as a starting point for conversation and as a way to track change over months.

The Counselor Burnout Inventory. General burnout measures do not always capture what happens specifically in counseling environments. The CBI addresses that gap by assessing professional exhaustion across five dimensions designed specifically for those engaging in the counseling context [33]. Regular use may help practitioners and supervisors notice problems early, which in turn may support the clients whose

care depends on engaged clinicians [39,40]. The CBI has 20 items and five subscales: exhaustion, incompetence, negative work environment, devaluing clients, and deterioration in personal life [33]. A review of studies using the CBI by Bardhoshi and colleagues found generally acceptable reliability across samples [40]. The negative work environment subscale is a practical strength, because it points to agency-level problems rather than personal ones. The CBI also has limits. It depends on self-report, it has no established clinical cutoffs, and research on its use with addiction counselors in particular is thin. Its fit for early-career addiction counselors needs more testing.

The Addiction Counseling Self-Efficacy Scale. A clinician's belief in their own competence shapes how they respond to occupational stress. The ACSES measures the specific skills and confidence levels most relevant to addiction counseling practice [34]. Counselors-in-training frequently enter active practice carrying anxiety and self-doubt that schooling alone does not resolve [25]. Tracking self-efficacy scores allows supervisors to target their guidance toward the specific developmental gaps that put newer clinicians most at risk. It is also worth noting that addiction counselors rarely work in isolation, and they are often working with addictions, behavioral issues and mental health disorders. Many clients carry co-occurring behavioral and process addictions alongside substance use disorders, meaning the competencies this scale measures extend beyond solely a clinical context [4,51]. The ACSES was built for addiction counseling, which is its main strength. Its initial validation study is now about 20 years old, and it does not measure distress or burnout directly [34]. High self-efficacy also does not guarantee high skill. For these reasons, the ACSES is best paired with the ProQOL or CBI and with direct observation of clinical work, rather than used alone.

Experiential pedagogy and supervision models

Classroom teaching only goes so far in preparing new clinicians for the emotional weight of this work. Learning about trauma in theory differs from facing it across a full caseload. That gap tends to show up most in the early years of practice [12]. CACREP [52] has addressed part of this by requiring accredited programs to teach crisis effects on individuals along with intervention strategies. Active learning frameworks takes that one step further by turning clinical concepts into practiced behaviors, to better prepare them when situations arise [27,28,30,53,54]. Structured mentoring relationships likewise serve as additional supports when counselors in training join and enter the workforce, enabling supervisors to guide and help their students connecting what training covered to what practice actually demands looks like [55–57].

Group supervision has excellent benefits and provides unique professional development skills that individual supervision may tend to miss. In a group setting, hearing peers share the same anxieties and uncertainties normalizes the experience of early clinical work, providing much-needed validation, and reduces the professional isolation that that is so often accompanied by burnout [58]. The Wellness Model of Supervision calls for this through structured experiential

activities designed to develop cognitive skills instead of focusing solely on technical skill [26]. The research on these group approaches is mixed. Ohrt and colleagues tested a wellness-focused group supervision intervention with 88 counselors-in-training and found no difference between the treatment and control groups at posttest [27]. Meany-Walen and colleagues reported more encouraging results for wellness-focused supervision with practicum students, but their study was small [35]. Across this work, samples are mostly graduate students, follow-up periods are short, and outcomes rely on self-report. None of these studies tracked whether participants stayed in the field. Group supervision is still a sound choice for building connection and shared learning. Claims about burnout prevention should stay modest until stronger trials are done. Group formats carry their own risks too. Some trainees may hold back in front of peers, and a poorly run group can raise anxiety rather than lower it.

Interpersonal process recall is one method used in this kind of supervision. Trainees review their own recorded sessions and focus on their inner reactions at specific moments, not only on client behavior [27,59,60]. This attention to self-awareness supports countertransference management, which helps clinicians build and keep healthy professional boundaries over time [61]. Interpersonal process recall has a long history in counselor training [59]. Much of the original research is decades old, and recent studies of its effect on burnout are scarce. It also takes time, recording equipment, and client consent, which can be hard to arrange in busy agencies.

Other experiential methods build on this in ways that are supportive and compatible. Having structured role assignments during case presentations gives trainees the chance to engage with dynamics from different perspectives, including those of the client and the treating clinician, which can lead to a deeper understanding of the therapeutic relationship instead of only reviewing the case [27,58]. Creative modalities like sand tray work and sculpting give trainees a non-verbal way to represent complex interpersonal dynamics, building on intentional reflection and awareness, doing this non-verbally allows them to explore possible relational patterns that are harder to access when done verbally [62]. Reflecting teams offer feedback from several people at once, giving the presenting clinician more perspective than individual supervision allows. Research associates this kind of multi-source feedback with higher self-efficacy in simulated crisis scenarios [55,63]. These methods share a common strength. They let trainees practice under low stakes and bring hidden reactions into view. Their weaknesses are also shared. Most of the evidence comes from case descriptions, qualitative studies, and small samples. The methods need trained facilitators, and creative approaches may feel uncomfortable or unfamiliar to some trainees [62]. Supervisors should offer them as options and check in about how trainees experience them.

Assertive communication is often underestimated as a clinical skill, but in trauma-heavy settings and toxic work environments it becomes a survival necessity [64]. Studies of long-serving counselors who appeared resilient to burnout and compassion fatigue found that boundary-setting and

self-advocacy worked as active practices, not fixed personality traits [36,65,66]. These are skills people learn and keep up on purpose. Resiliency training frameworks teach them through targeted role-play in supervision. A psychologically safe setting lets clinicians practice many times without real professional stakes. Boundary rehearsal prepares trainees for limit-setting with high-need clients and with colleagues. Research on protective practices associates this kind of boundary work with less buildup of vicarious trauma over time [66,67]. Self-advocacy scripts give newer clinicians a firm starting point for telling supervisors when support is lacking, a conversation many find hard [36,65,68,69]. Training in conflict resolution and workload negotiation helps them sharpen these skills. It also helps them recognize when a work dynamic is turning harmful and respond before the damage is harder to reverse. The evidence here has limits as well. Butt and Zahid [64] did not study addiction counselors. Rapp and colleagues [66] studied health care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Harrison and Westwood [67] drew on interviews with experienced therapists. These findings support the value of boundary work, but they do not show that role-play training alone lowers burnout in addiction counselors. Role-play can also feel artificial, and some trainees find it stressful at first. The biggest limit is practical. Boundary-setting only helps if the workplace respects the boundaries clinicians set, which the next section takes up.

Individual resilience and organizational support

Resilience training and organizational support are often discussed as separate options. It makes more sense to see them as two parts of one system that shape each other. The Job Demands-Resources model offers a useful way to think about this [70]. In that model, job demands such as caseload size, client acuity, and paperwork drain energy over time. Job resources such as supervision, fair pay, a voice in decisions, and supportive leadership help offset those demands and keep people engaged. Individual coping skills are one kind of resource. They cannot make up for demands that keep growing without limit.

The two levels interact in at least four ways. Organizational conditions decide whether individual skills can be used at all. A counselor trained to set boundaries around caseload size needs a supervisor and an agency that will honor a reasonable limit. Where speaking up brings penalties, self-advocacy training may add frustration instead of relief. The reverse also holds. Individual skills help clinicians make use of the resources an agency offers. A new counselor who can spot early signs of exhaustion and ask for help is more likely to use supervision, time off, or peer support before things reach a crisis. Assessment data can link the two levels. Individual ProQOL or CBI results belong to the clinician. When agencies collect the same measures anonymously and look at group trends, the results can point to teams with unsafe caseloads or weak supervision. The CBI's negative work environment subscale is especially useful for this [33]. Supervision sits in the middle. Supervisors teach individual skills and model sustainable practice, and they also carry concerns about workload and policy up to leadership.

Research on burnout supports this combined view, with some limits. Maslach and Leiter [18] describe burnout as a mismatch between people and six areas of work life: workload, control, reward, community, fairness, and values. The organization sets most of these, not the

individual. In a national study of 823 addiction counselors, clinical supervision was associated with lower emotional exhaustion and lower turnover intention. Part of that link ran through counselors' sense of job autonomy and fair treatment [71]. One meta-analysis of physician studies found that organization-directed interventions were associated with larger drops in burnout than those aimed only at individuals [72]. Another found that both individual and organizational approaches produced meaningful reductions [73]. A meta-analysis of burnout interventions for mental health providers found small overall benefits [74]. These findings come from different professions and mostly from short-term studies. They suggest a direction more than they settle the question.

The main risk in resilience training is that it can shift blame. If burnout is framed only as a failure of personal coping, clinicians may feel at fault for conditions they do not control, and agencies may avoid needed changes. Resilience training works best when agencies present it as one part of a shared plan. That plan should include manageable caseloads, reliable supervision, fair pay, and clear channels for raising concerns. Individual skills can help a counselor last longer in a hard job. Organizational support makes the job less harmful in the first place. Neither is enough alone.

Discussion and implications for behavioral health

High staff turnover is associated with instability in community treatment centers and can weaken the consistency that effective care depends on [1,12]. When experienced counselors leave, their caseloads do not transfer cleanly to whoever remains. Services as well as therapeutic relationships fragment, and the populations most reliant on continuity absorb that disruption in ways that are difficult to recover from [1,8,75]. Knudsen et al [71]. and Meany-Walen et al [35]. both frame early-career retention as a system-level concern, one that structured clinical supervision is well positioned to address by protecting clinicians while improving the quality of the care they deliver [55,56,76].

Constant turnover is associated with disrupted therapeutic alliances, and the workplace stress that follows is linked to weaker clinical engagement among the staff who stay [3,7,41,77,78]. Morale often drops when colleagues leave, and caseloads grow. Substance use disorders are chronic conditions, and treating them well usually requires relationships that last. Long-term outcome research links continuity of care with longer abstinence and better functioning [44]. Keeping new clinicians is a patient care issue as much as a workforce issue.

Repeated recruitment and onboarding cycles consume resources that most community agencies can not afford to lose, diverting funding away from other areas that could use improvements or financial security [11,12,79]. Planning for

wellness ahead of time may cut these costs by stepping in before stress builds to the point where clinicians leave. Cost studies in addiction settings are still needed to confirm this [6,26,47]. When counselor wellness is treated as a clinical competency rather than a personal matter, the benefits improve both the budgets of the organization as well as the workforce itself [30,80].

Training programs that adopt the Resiliency Wellness Model as a foundational framework are better positioned to address these vulnerabilities before they become further saturated with attrition. New clinicians need practical self-preservation skills before they enter the field, not after burnout has already taken hold [26]. That means assertive communication, boundary-setting, and self-advocacy, the same strategies that research identifies in addiction counselors who have remained in the field for decades as active, deliberate professional practices rather than incidental traits [36]. Building those strategies into foundational curricula moves the profession away from reactive damage control and toward something more sustainable [26-28,47].

Standardized assessment tools and active monitoring give organizations an objective way to track whether those efforts are working. The CBI assesses occupational depletion across dimensions specific to counseling practice, [33] while the ProQOL tracks compassion satisfaction and secondary traumatic stress over time [32]. When supervisors and trainees review assessment data together, they can target interventions before impairment becomes significant [25,31,33,40]. At the organizational level, that data should remain anonymous and voluntary to maintain the staff trust that makes honest reporting possible. Agencies should also decide ahead of time how group results will be used. Reviewing caseloads or supervision coverage are two examples. Staff are more likely to take part when they can see a clear purpose. Clinicians can also use these tools on their own to track their wellbeing and act before warning signs become harder to ignore [32,81].

None of this works without organizational conditions that support it. Unsupportive workplace cultures go along with lower care quality and higher safety risks for clients, even when individual clinicians are well trained [7,42,82]. Agencies need to provide adequate supervision, manageable caseloads, and protected time for clinical reflection that productivity-driven scheduling typically squeezes out [21,83]. Supervisors who model sustainable professional behavior reduce the isolation that tends to precede emotional exhaustion. Administrative policies that treat staff health as a priority may reduce the workplace strain tied to staff departures.

Keeping the addiction workforce steady means dealing with both what clinicians carry and the conditions they work in [11,12]. Regular screening, wellness-based supervision, and changes to agency structure seem to work best together rather than alone. Together they offer a layered defense against the attrition that continues to drain the field of needed practitioners [6,8,29,35,84]. Future studies should test combined individual and agency programs with early-career addiction counselors. They should follow people long enough to measure who actually stays, and report current turnover data by setting and region.

Money and time spent on these supports during training and daily practice are, in the end, spent on clients. Their recovery depends on a workforce that stays long enough to see them through it.

Conclusion

Early-career addiction counselors may experience substantial occupational pressures during the transition from training to independent clinical practice. The literature reviewed in this article indicates that burnout, compassion fatigue, self-efficacy, supervision, organizational conditions, and workforce retention are interconnected areas of concern, although the available evidence does not consistently establish causal relationships.

Resilience-oriented education, structured supervision, experiential learning, standardized wellness assessment, boundary-setting, and self-advocacy may provide useful components of early-career professional development. However, these approaches should not be positioned as substitutes for organizational responsibility. Manageable workloads, supportive supervision, fair treatment, effective leadership, and opportunities for professional reflection are important complementary conditions.

A sustainable approach to counselor well-being therefore requires integration of individual and organizational strategies. Future research should evaluate these combined approaches using longitudinal designs and counselor-specific workforce outcomes. Treating counselor well-being as an integral component of professional practice may contribute to a more sustainable behavioral health workforce and support continuity of care for clients.

References

1. Stelson EA, Sabbath-Clayton LL, Sorensen G, Kubzansky LD, Berkman LF, Sabbath EL. Residential addiction treatment providers: identifying the role of social context in worker health and turnover. *Soc Sci Med.* 2022;314:115462. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115462>
2. Yang Y, Hayes JA. Causes and consequences of burnout among mental health professionals: a practice-oriented review of recent empirical literature. *Psychother.* 2020;57(3):426-436. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000317>
3. Reyre A, Jeannin R, Largueche M, Moro MR, Baubet T, Taieb O. Overcoming professionals' challenging experiences to promote a trustful therapeutic alliance in addiction treatment: a qualitative study. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;174:30-38. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.01.015>
4. Beck AJ, Page C, Buche J, et al. Scopes of practice and reimbursement patterns of addiction counselors, community health workers, and peer recovery specialists in the behavioral health workforce. *Ann Arbor (MI): Behavioral Health Workforce Research Center, University of Michigan School of Public Health;* 2018.
5. Harwood HJ. Updating estimates of the economic costs of alcohol abuse in the United States: estimates, update methods, and data. *Bethesda (MD): National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism;* 2000.
6. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Culture and climate. *Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services;* 2022 Sep.

7. Landrum B, Knight DK, Flynn PM. The impact of organizational stress and burnout on client engagement. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;42(2):222-230. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2011.10.011>
8. Garner BR, Hunter BD, Modisette KC, Ihnes PC, Godley SH. Treatment staff turnover in organizations implementing evidence-based practices: turnover rates and their association with client outcomes. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;42(2):134-142. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2011.10.015>
9. Simpson DD. A conceptual framework for drug treatment process and outcomes. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2004;27(2):99-121. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2004.06.001>
10. Armoon B, Fleury MJ, Bayat AH, et al. Quality of life and its associated factors among people with substance use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Public Health.* 2022;80(1):108. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-022-00940-0>
11. Eby LT, Burk H, Maher CP. How serious of a problem is staff turnover in substance abuse treatment? A longitudinal study of actual turnover. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2010;39(3):264-271. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2010.06.009>
12. Knight DK, Landrum B, Becan JE, Flynn PM. Program needs and change orientation: implications for counselor turnover. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;42(2):159-168. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2011.10.019>
13. Murphy J, Kruis N. Emotional exhaustion and turnover intentions among counselors in rural substance use disorder treatment programs. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2023;58(2):180-187. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/108262084.2022.2151314>
14. Vilardaga R, Luoma JB, Hayes SC, et al. Burnout among the addiction counseling workforce: the differential roles of mindfulness and values-based processes and work-site factors. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2011;40(4):323-335. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2010.11.015>
15. Gutierrez D, Butts CM, Lamberson KA, Lassiter PS. Examining the contributions of trait emotional intelligence on addiction counselor burnout. *J Addict Offender Couns.* 2019;40(1):52-64. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jaoc.12056>
16. Maslach C, Jackson SE. The measurement of experienced burnout. *J Occup Behav.* 1981;2(2):99-113. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/job.4030020205>
17. Edú-Valsania S, Laguía A, Moriano JA. Burnout: a review of theory and measurement. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(3):1780. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031780>
18. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry.* 2016;15(2):103-111. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311>
19. Figley CR, editor. Treating compassion fatigue. New York: Brunner-Routledge; 2002.
20. Robino AE. Global compassion fatigue: a new perspective in counselor wellness. *Prof Couns.* 2019;9(4):272-284. Available from: https://tpcjournal.nbcc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Pages_272-284-Robino-Global_Compassion_Fatigue.pdf
21. Broome KM, Knight DK, Edwards JR, Flynn PM. Leadership, burnout, and job satisfaction in outpatient drug-free treatment programs. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2009;37(2):160-170. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2008.12.002>
22. Paulson BN. Exploring resiliency to burnout: a phenomenological study of addiction counselors resilient to burnout [dissertation]. Lynchburg (VA): Liberty University; 2023.
23. Beitel M, Oberleitner L, Muthulingam D, et al. Experiences of burnout among drug counselors in a large opioid treatment program: a qualitative investigation. *Subst Abuse.* 2018;39(2):211-217. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2018.1449051>
24. Oser CB, Biebel EP, Pullen E, Harp KL. Causes, consequences, and prevention of burnout among substance abuse treatment counselors: a rural versus urban comparison. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 2013;45(1):17-27. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2013.763558>
25. Can N, Watson JC. Individual and relational predictors of compassion fatigue among counselors-in-training. *Prof Couns.* 2019;9(4):285-297. Available from: <https://tpcjournal.nbcc.org/individual-and-relational-predictors-of-compassion-fatigue-among-counselors-in-training/>
26. Lenz AS, Smith RL. Integrating wellness concepts within a clinical supervision model. *Clin Superv.* 2010;29(2):228-245. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233089437_Integrating_Wellness_Concepts_within_a_Clinical_Supervision_Model
27. Ohrt JH, Prosek EA, Ener E, Lindo N. The effects of a group supervision intervention to promote wellness and prevent burnout. *J Humanist Couns.* 2015;54(1):41-58. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/j.2161-1939.2015.00063.x>
28. Merriman J. Enhancing counselor supervision through compassion fatigue education. *J Couns Dev.* 2015;93(3):370-378. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277604171_Enhancing_Counselor_Supervision_Through_Compassion_Fatigue_Education
29. Thompson IA, Amatea ES, Thompson ES. Personal and contextual predictors of mental health counselors' compassion fatigue and burnout. *J Ment Health Couns.* 2014;36(1):58-77. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279741275_Personal_and_Contextual_Predictors_of_Mental_Health_Counselors%27_Compassion_Fatigue_and_Burnout
30. American Counseling Association. 2014 ACA code of ethics. Alexandria (VA): American Counseling Association; 2014.
31. Newell JM, MacNeil GA. Professional burnout, vicarious trauma, secondary traumatic stress, and compassion fatigue: a review of theoretical terms, risk factors, and preventive methods for clinicians and researchers. *Best Pract Ment Health.* 2010;6(2):57-68.
32. Stamm BH. The concise ProQOL manual. 2nd ed. Pocatello (ID): ProQOL.org; 2010.
33. Lee SM, Baker CR, Cho SH, Heckathorn DE, Holland MW, Newgent RA, et al. Development and initial psychometrics of the Counselor Burnout Inventory. *Meas Eval Couns Dev.* 2007;40(3):142-154. Available from: <https://pure.korea.ac.kr/en/publications/development-and-initial-psychometrics-of-the-counselor-burnout-in/>
34. Murdock TB, Wendler AM, Nilsson JE. The Addiction Counseling Self-Efficacy Scale (ACES): development and initial validation. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2005;28(1):55-61. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2005.03.005>
35. Meany-Walen KK, Davis-Gage D, Lindo NA. The impact of wellness-focused supervision on mental health counseling practicum students. *J Couns Dev.* 2016;94(4):464-472.
36. Paulson BN, Hayes AL, Lilley SC, et al. The lived experiences of addiction counselors and applications for building resiliency. *J Addict Ther Res.* 2024;8(1):024-034. Available from: <https://www.addictiontherjournal.com/journals/jatr/jatr-aid1031.php>
37. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Addiction counseling competencies: the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of professional practice. Technical Assistance Publication Series 21. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services; 2015.

38. Young S. Understanding substance abuse counselor turnover due to burnout: a theoretical perspective. *J Hum Behav Soc Environ*. 2015;25(6):675-686.
39. Cook RM, Fye HJ, Jones JL, Brodsky AR, Sneed K, Bayne HG. Self-compassion and mindfulness as predictors of counselor burnout. *J Couns Dev*. 2021;99(1):58-68.
40. Bardhoshi G, Erford BT, Jang H. Psychometric synthesis of the Counselor Burnout Inventory. *J Couns Dev*. 2019;97(2):195-208. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jcad.12250>
41. Salyers MP, Rollins AL, Kelly YF, et al. Job satisfaction and burnout among VA and community mental health workers. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(7):769-772. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-011-0375-7>
42. Knudsen HK, Johnson JA, Roman PM. Retaining counseling staff at substance abuse treatment centers: effects of management practices. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2003;24(2):129-135. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472\(02\)00357-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472(02)00357-4)
43. Paris M, Hoge MA. Burnout in the mental health workforce: a review. *J Behav Health Serv Res*. 2010;37(4):519-528. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-009-9202-2>
44. Hubbard RL, Craddock SG, Anderson J. Overview of 5-year followup outcomes in the Drug Abuse Treatment Outcome Studies (DATOS). *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2003;25(3):125-134. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472\(03\)00130-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472(03)00130-2)
45. Bentley PG. Compassion practice as an antidote for compassion fatigue in the era of COVID-19. *J Humanist Couns*. 2022;61(1):58-73. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9015224/>
46. Litam SDA, Ausloos CD, Harrichand JJS. Stress and resilience among professional counselors during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Couns Dev*. 2021;99(4):384-395. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12391>
47. Simionato GK, Simpson S, Reid C. Burnout as an ethical issue in psychotherapy. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2019;26(6):724-737. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000261>
48. Bride BE, Radey M, Figley CR. Measuring compassion fatigue. *Clin Soc Work J*. 2007;35(3):155-163. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226997803_Measuring_Compassion_Fatigue
49. Heritage B, Rees CS, Hegney DG. The ProQOL-21: a revised version of the Professional Quality of Life (ProQOL) scale based on Rasch analysis. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(2). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193478>
50. Lawson G, Myers JE. Wellness, professional quality of life, and career-sustaining behaviors: what keeps us well? *J Couns Dev*. 2011;89(2):163-171. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263616896_Wellness_Professional_Quality_of_Life_and_Career-Sustaining_Behaviors_What_Keeps_Us_Well
51. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Substance use disorders recovery with a focus on employment and education. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services; 2020.
52. Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs. 2024 CACREP standards. Alexandria (VA): Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs; 2024.
53. McKeachie WJ, Svinicki M. McKeachie's teaching tips. 14th ed. Boston: Cengage Learning; 2013.
54. West JD, Bubenzer D, Cox JA, McGlothlin JM, eds. Teaching in counselor education: engaging students in learning. Alexandria (VA): Association for Counselor Education and Supervision; 2013.
55. Bernard JM, Goodyear RK. Fundamentals of clinical supervision. 6th ed. Boston: Pearson; 2019.
56. Borders LD, Glosoff HL, Welfare LE, Hays DG, DeKruyf L, Fernando DM, et al. Best practices in clinical supervision: evolution of a counseling specialty. *Clin Superv*. 2014;33(1):26-44. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271822175_Best_Practices_in_Clinical_Supervision_Evolution_of_a_Counseling_Specialty
57. Cook RM, Sackett CR. Exploration of pre-licensed counselors' experiences navigating the licensure process. *J Couns Leadersh Advoc*. 2018;5(1):16-29. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327578748_Exploration_of_Prelicensed_Counselors%27_Experiences_Prioritizing_Information_for_Clinical_Supervision
58. Johnson O, Lawrence C. Reflective practice and counselor identity development. *J Couns Prep Superv*. 2022;15(1) 2.
59. Kagan N, Krathwohl DR, Miller R. Stimulated recall in therapy using videotape: a case study. *J Couns Psychol*. 1963;10(3):237-243. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232501895_Stimulated_recall_in_therapy_using_video_tape_A_case_study
60. Borders LD. Science of learning in clinical supervision. *Clin Superv*. 2019;38(2):149-167.
61. Hayes JA, Gelso CJ, Hummel AM. Managing countertransference. *Psychother*. 2011;48(1):88-97. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022182>
62. Bradley N, Stargell N, Craigen L, et al. Creative approaches for promoting vulnerability in supervision: a relational-cultural approach. *J Creat Ment Health*. 2019;14(3):391-404. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329972025_Creative_Approaches_for_Promoting_Vulnerability_in_Supervision_A_Relational-Cultural_Approach
63. Sawyer C, Peters M, Willis J. Self-efficacy of beginning counselors to counsel clients in crisis. *J Couns Prep Superv*. 2013;5(2):30-43.
64. Butt A, Zahid ZM. Effect of assertiveness skills on job burnout. *Int Lett Soc Humanist Sci*. 2015;63:218-224. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285370047_Effect_of_Assertiveness_Skills_on_Job_Burnout
65. Dang Y, Sangganjanavanich VF. Promoting counselor-in-training self-advocacy through relational-cultural theory. *J Couns Leadersh Advoc*. 2015;2(1):11-22.
66. Rapp DJ, Hughey JM, Kreiner GE. Boundary work as a buffer against burnout: evidence from healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Appl Psychol*. 2021;106(8):1169-1187. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000951>
67. Harrison RL, Westwood MJ. Preventing vicarious traumatization of mental health therapists: identifying protective practices. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train*. 2009;46(2):203-219. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016081>
68. Chang CY. Counselors-in-training's transition from an on-campus clinic to a field site. *Clin Superv*. 2012;31(2):156-175.
69. Farrell IC, Barrio Minton CA. Advocacy and self-advocacy for the counseling profession. *J Couns Leadersh Advoc*. 2019;6(2):126-138.
70. Bakker AB, Demerouti E. The Job Demands-Resources model: state of the art. *J Manag Psychol*. 2007;22(3):309-328. Available from: <https://www.emerald.com/jmp/article-abstract/22/3/309/236386/The-Job-Demands-Resources-model-state-of-the-art?redirectedFrom=fulltext>
71. Knudsen HK, Ducharme LJ, Roman PM. Clinical supervision, emotional exhaustion, and turnover intention: a study of substance abuse treatment counselors in the Clinical Trials Network of the National Institute on Drug Abuse. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2008;35(4):387-395. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2008.02.003>
72. Panagioti M, Panagopoulou E, Bower P, Lewith G, Kontopantelis E, Chew-Graham C, et al. Controlled interventions to reduce burnout in physicians: a

- systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(2):195-205. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.7674>
73. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Erwin PJ, Shanafelt TD. Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016;388(10057):2272-2281. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(16\)31279-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31279-x)
 74. Dreison KC, Luther L, Bonfils KA, Sliter MT, McGrew JH, Salyers MP. Job burnout in mental health providers: a meta-analysis of 35 years of intervention research. J Occup Health Psychol. 2018;23(1):18-30. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000047>
 75. Schaefer JA, Cronkite RC, Richmond MK. The impact of counselor turnover on substance abuse treatment outcomes. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2005;28(1):77-85.
 76. Kim N, Lambie GW. Burnout and implications for professional school counselors. Prof Couns. 2018;8(3):277-294. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329329374_Burnout_and_Implications_for_Professional_School_Counselors
 77. Garman AN, Corrigan PW, Morris S. Staff burnout and patient satisfaction: evidence from a psychiatric inpatient facility. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2002;9(5):533-537.
 78. McKay JR. Treating substance use disorders with adaptive continuing care. Washington (DC): American Psychological Association; 2009.
 79. Eby LT, Rothrauff-Laschober TC. The relationship between perceptions of organizational functioning and voluntary counselor turnover: a four-wave longitudinal study. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2012;42(2):151-158. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2011.10.008>
 80. Bamonti PM, Keelan CM, Larson N, Moffett J, Tollerud TR. Promoting ethical behavior by cultivating a culture of self-care during graduate training: a call to action. Train Educ Prof Psychol. 2014;8(4):253-260.
 81. Thomas DA, Morris MH. Creative counselor self-care. VISTAS Online. 2017.
 82. Salyers MP. Let's stop burning out our mental health workforce. Psychiatr Serv. 2016;67(7):699.
 83. Kim JJ, Brookman-Frazee L, Gellatly R, et al. Predictors of burnout among community therapists in the sustainment phase of a system-driven implementation of multiple evidence-based practices in children's mental health. Prof Psychol Res Pract. 2018;49(2):132-141. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/pro0000182>
 84. Aarons GA, Sommerfeld DH, Hecht DB, Silovsky JF, Chaffin MJ. The impact of evidence-based practice implementation and fidelity monitoring on staff turnover: evidence for a protective effect. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2009;77(2):270-280. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013223>

Discover a bigger Impact and Visibility of your article publication with Peertechz Publications

Highlights

- ❖ Signatory publisher of ORCID
- ❖ Signatory Publisher of DORA (San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment)
- ❖ Articles archived in worlds' renowned service providers such as Portico, CNKI, AGRIS, TDNet, Base (Bielefeld University Library), CrossRef, Scilit, J-Gate etc.
- ❖ Journals indexed in ICMJE, SHERPA/ROMEO, Google Scholar etc.
- ❖ OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)
- ❖ Dedicated Editorial Board for every journal
- ❖ Accurate and rapid peer-review process
- ❖ Increased citations of published articles through promotions
- ❖ Reduced timeline for article publication

Submit your articles and experience a new surge in publication services

<https://www.peertechzpublications.org/submit>

Peertechz journals wishes everlasting success in your every endeavours.